

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/808,530	KATO, TOSHIKAZU	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Paul Dinh	2825	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** allowance

(1) Paul Dinh, Patent Examiner.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Phillip J. Articola, Applicant Attorney.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 8 November 2005

**Time:** Am

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*none*

**Claims discussed:**

*1-5*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*none*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*By examiner amendment: (a) cancel the non-elected-without traverse claims 1-4; (b) replace typo word "drawer" in claim 5 with "controller", (c) update RELATED PATENT APPLICATION information in specification.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Paul Dinh  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)